



City of Blaine Anoka County, Minnesota

Blaine City Hall
10801 Town Sq Dr NE
Blaine MN 55449

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: WS 21-01 **Version:** 1 **Name:** Applewood Pointe
Type: Workshop Item **Status:** Agenda Ready
File created: 1/11/2021 **In control:** City Council Workshop
On agenda: 1/11/2021 **Final action:** 6/30/2022
Title: APPLEWOOD POINTE CONCEPT PROPOSAL
Sponsors: Lori Johnson
Indexes:
Code sections:
Attachments: 1. Attachments

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
6/30/2022	1	City Council Workshop	Informational: no action required	
1/11/2021	1	City Council Workshop		

WORKSHOP ITEM - *Lori Johnson, City Planner*

APPLEWOOD POINTE CONCEPT PROPOSAL

In July, 2020 staff presented a proposal for a new senior cooperative housing project to the city council at a workshop. This project is located at 11967 and 11985 Radisson Road. The city council reviewed the project and expressed support for the overall concept. Due to the fact that there are three new councilmembers, the developer expressed the desire to repeat the presentation at a workshop and determine if the support is still there for this project. The applicant is currently working through issues with the Coon Creek Watershed District and going through the entitlement process but would like to learn about the council's views prior to spending more money on this project.

Project Details

United Properties is interested in constructing an Applewood Pointe senior cooperative housing building on two properties located at 11967 and 11985 Radisson Road (see attached location map). The properties are currently occupied by two single family homes, where owners are wanting to sell. There is a third property at 11945 Radisson Rd which is not part of this proposal, however is anticipated to be developed in the future.

Applewood Pointe is a multi-family senior cooperative product that United Properties has built in nine communities throughout the Twin Cities Metro Area. A senior cooperative is an owner-

occupied building where an owner purchases an interest into the overall cooperative community. Unlike a condominium, the owner does not own an individual unit. There are two primary costs: the share cost and monthly payment. The share cost is a one-time down payment that purchases a share in the non-profit cooperative corporation. The developer offers multiple tiered pricing options, representing levels of equity. The share cost is a percentage of the value of the home (Initial Dwelling Unit Value), and percentages differ depending on the community. The higher percentage someone pays in equity, the lower the monthly cost.

Overall, the site is 9.7 acres. The developer has provided a site plan that includes a 102-unit cooperative building. There is also a wetland on site that needs to be taken into site planning considerations.

The following is a summary of concerns that staff would like to make the city council aware of prior to any direction being provided.

Land Use and Building Height

The properties are currently zoned Farm Residence (FR). This is the typical historic zoning for property prior to it be developed for housing. Any housing proposal will require a rezoning to Development Flex (DF). The properties are guided as Medium Density Residential (MDR) in the city's 2040 Comprehensive Plans. Density requirements for MDR are 6-12 units/acre. The MDR land use designation typically allows for attached or detached townhome or villa units, similar to the adjacent residential products in the area.

The proposed cooperative building is 10.5 units/acre. However, because the product is designed as a multi-family structure, the project requires a land use change to High Density Residential (HDR). Any land use change requires a super majority approval (5 votes). A land use change is a legislative decision by the city council and can be made at their discretion.

The site abuts three-level townhomes to the north and single-level villas to the east. The proposed building would be four stories with underground parking.

Based on past experience, staff would anticipate concern/opposition from the adjacent neighborhoods about the density and building height. Unfortunately, until a neighborhood meeting occurs, the level of concern is not ascertainable. A neighborhood meeting would occur if a formal application is submitted.

Access and Traffic

The proposed plan shows a right-in/right-out access to Radisson Road which is desired by the developer. Radisson Road is an Anoka County road. The developer reached out to the Anoka County Highway Department for feedback regarding access to the site from Radisson Road. Anoka County's response states *"As we discussed by telephone last week, Anoka County would prefer that no new access points were added onto this section of CSAH 52/Radisson Rd and the*

development served via the local street network. If, however, a city street connection must be made to CSAH 52/Radisson Rd for this development, then yes, it would need to be a right turn in/right turn out access point (or a right in only) and a NB CSAH 52/Radisson Rd right turn lane would be required to be constructed by and in conjunction with the development.”

City staff has concerns with introducing a new access to the development from Radisson Road. An access from Radisson Road provides a new access into the overall Lakes development and likely would result in cut-through traffic from the overall Lakes development to occur through this development and other adjacent neighborhoods.

The city’s roadway network plan for this area is to eventually connect Urbank Circle and 121st Avenue to serve development of the three existing properties and not have direct access to Radisson Road. Therefore, any traffic generated from the development of the three existing properties would use the local roadway network (Urbank Circle or Vermillion Street).

A new access to Radisson Road would alleviate traffic from any new development on the local roadway network, however as stated above, would likely result in additional cut-through traffic from the overall Lakes development.

A traffic memorandum has been provided by the developer for this site. The memo indicates that the 102-unit, high density senior development would generate less trips and reduced traffic impacts than a 94-unit medium density residential development. More information will be presented about the traffic memo at the workshop.

Other Site Comments

Given that 121st Ave NE and Urbank Circle are public roads; it will be required that they be connected via a public road. Therefore, ensure that the proposed site plan accounts for the necessary public road right-of-way. Please note that parking will not be permitted along public roadways.

The property at 11945 Radisson Road will be required to be ghost platted in order to ensure that the future public road connections are accounted for.

These comments can be addressed through the formal approval process and aren’t necessarily critical to the workshop discussion.

Staff would like direction from the city council as to whether there is interest in this type of product and density on the site. Given that it is not consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, an amendment is needed which requires a super majority approval.

Secondly, staff would like direction from the city council regarding whether there is interest in allowing access to the site from Radisson Road.

Lastly, other minor site design issues can be worked through between staff and the developer if a formal submittal occurs.

Attachments:

Site Plan

Building Elevation

Pictures of Other Locations

Traffic Memo