

## Bugge, Dawn

---

**From:** Erica hull [ladyakasha68@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 13, 2015 5:36 PM  
**To:** Bugge, Dawn  
**Subject:** case number 15-0017

We are opposed to the additional building sight as it will increase the traffic flow to an alrdy busy street, where kids ride bikes and scooters. Our taxes will increase which we alrdy pay high taxes as it is. And this could very well turn into another rental which will then then diminish our property values, in an area that alrdy has several rentals, one which was busted for meth 4 houses down. We do not need this in our neighborhood.

Charles and Erica Hull

-----  
This email was scanned by BitDefender.

## Bugge, Dawn

---

**From:** bob [bobdelancey\_2@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 13, 2015 6:05 PM  
**To:** Bugge, Dawn  
**Subject:** Building Site

I am opposed to the additional building site as it will increase the traffic flow to an already busy street. and another concern is this will become a rental property diminishing property values some more in an area that already has several rental properties, one which has had a major drug bust, just three houses down from me. we don't need anymore rental properties in this neighborhood. I know he wants to stay in the old house until he builds the new house then he will rent out the old house and live in the new one. Then he could turn around a few years down the road and move out of the new house and then that also becomes a rental property.

I am opposed to this building site.

thank you  
Robert DeLancey  
11672 Oak Park Dr.  
Blaine, MN. 55434

-----  
This email was scanned by BitDefender.